

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/602,477	06/23/2000	SHIGEYASU NAKAZAWA	A-366	9004
802	7590 04/23/2004	•	EXAM	INER
DELLETT AND WALTERS P. O. BOX 2786			MCPHERSO	N, JOHN A
PORTLAND, OR 97208-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1756	

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/602,477	NAKAZAWA ET AL.
· ·	Examiner	Art Unit
•	John A. McPherson	1756
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 01 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be eith condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	I to avoid abandonment of thi er: (1) a timely filed amendma Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in
PERIOD FOR	R REPLY [check either a) or t	0)]
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing α	date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shot (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thr	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS The date on which the petition under 37 extension and the corresponding amountened statutory period for reply original	ng date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee unt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appel 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37)		•
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	red because:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see N	lote below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the applica issues for appeal; and/or	tion in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without ca	anceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	vould be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requesion in condition for allowance because		en considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended clair		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as foll		
Claim(s) allowed: 2-4.		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	tement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)
10. Other:		
		John A. McPherson Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1756

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/602,477

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Ilimitation "which is not substantially constituted by the presence of a foreign body" raises a new issue which would require further consideration and/or search.